


<b>Location reference:</b>	<b>Par Docks to Black Head</b>
<b>Management Area reference:</b>	<b>MA07</b>
<b>Policy Development Zone:</b>	<b>PDZ3</b>



PREFERRED POLICY TO IMPLEMENT PLAN:	
<b>From present day (0-20 years)</b>	NAI between Par Docks and Charlestown. HTL/MR to allow adjustment to current defence approach at Charlestown. NAI at Duporth. HTL at Porthpean.
<b>Medium term (20-50 years)</b>	NAI between Par Docks and Charlestown. HTL/MR to allow adjustment to current defence approach at Charlestown. NAI at Duporth. MR at Porthpean to move back from unsustainable position.
<b>Long term (50 -100 years)</b>	NAI between Par Docks and Charlestown. HTL/MR to allow adjustment to current defence approach at Charlestown. NAI at Duporth. NAI at Porthpean to maintain sustainability of realigned shoreline position.

**SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC POLICIES**

Policy Unit		SMP1 Policy	SMP2 Policy Plan			Comment
		50 yrs	2025	2055	2105	
7.1	Undefended cliffs	Do nothing	NAI	NAI	NAI	Continuation of existing policy from SMP1. Meets landscape objectives relating to the AONB and Heritage coast designations.
7.2	Carlyon Bay	Do nothing	NAI	NAI	NAI	Current shoreline position indicated as stable over 100 years. Intention to hold the line undesirable for coast protection authorities.
7.3	Charlestown (harbour & west beach)	Hold existing defence at harbour. Do nothing at Appletree Point	HTL/MR	HTL/MR	HTL/MR	Some realignment of defensive line seaward proposed. MR would accommodate consideration of solutions other than straightforward hold of existing defensive position.
7.4	Duporth	Do nothing, monitor cliff stability	NAI	NAI	NAI	Some erosion of cliff line accepted, issues relate to SW Coast Path. No justification for cliff stabilization / intervention.
7.5	Porthpean	Hold the line along developed beach frontage. Do nothing elsewhere.	MR	MR	NAI	MR during epochs 1 / 2 to allow retreat from current defensive line and more sustainable shoreline position to become established. NAI is objective in longer term.
Key: HTL - Hold the Line, A - Advance the Line, NAI – No Active Intervention MR – Managed Realignment						

PREDICTED IMPLICATIONS OF THE PREFERRED PLAN

Policy Unit		Theme				
		Property & Land Use	Nature Conservation	Landscape	Historic Environment	Amenity & Recreational Use
7.1	Undefended cliffs	No adverse impacts on property or land use. Low erosion rates anticipated.	Natural processes occurring under preferred plan, value of natural maritime cliff habitat maintained.	Continued no active intervention approach would help to maintain landscape value and uphold the AONB and Heritage Coast designations.	There are no historic sites impacted by the very low rates of erosion anticipated for this frontage.	No implications identified.
7.2	Carlyon Bay	The preferred plan would have no implications for existing development. If new development were to go ahead on Crinnis Beach, the implications of the preferred plan are that any developer/owner of the site would remain responsible for maintenance of any defences in perpetuity.	Natural coastal processes would continue under the preferred plan. The natural value of the sandy intertidal area would be maintained.	Landscape values should be maintained.	There are no historic sites impacted by the low rates of erosion and roll back of the MHW position anticipated for this frontage.	No active intervention approach should maintain frontage in optimum condition for amenity and recreational value.
7.3	Charlestown (harbour & west beach)	Existing property and land use maintained by preferred plan.	No specific implications.	Historic built landscape / seascape would be maintained. It would continue to be a modified frontage.	Historic harbour and settlement clustered around it would be maintained. The Charlestown Conservation Area would not be compromised.	Amenity and recreational value of the harbour and adjacent frontages would be maintained.
7.4	Duport	Continued impacts on private property gardens and boundaries.	Natural processes occurring under preferred plan, value of natural maritime cliff habitat maintained. Erosion will continue to feed new sediment to the foreshore.	Landscape values should be maintained.	No historic sites at risk along the Duport frontage, although some residual risk to the Charlestown Battery from erosion is considered possible in the longer term.	Loss of coast path has already occurred along Duport cliff top. Preferred plan will exacerbate this. Potential cliff falls need to be considered from beach safety point of view.

Policy Unit		Theme				
		Property & Land Use	Nature Conservation	Landscape	Historic Environment	Amenity & Recreational Use
7.5	Porthpean	Some impacts / loss of tourist-related development at rear of beach.	Plan would maintain width of foreshore and value of sandy substrate habitat.	Landscape values would be improved with removal of rear of beach defences and infrastructure.	Malthouse and Lime Kiln scheduled sites should remain undamaged but need to be considered due to their proximity to possible erosion extent.	Amenity value of the beach should be maintained by preventing coastal squeeze and loss of beach. Some temporary negative impacts could occur through temporary loss of slipway.